

ENHANCED DESIGN APPROACH FOR GLOBAL AND LOCAL BUCKLING RESISTANCE OF WELDED BOX-SECTION COLUMNS

Mohammad Radwan

Supervisor: Dr. Balázs Kövesdi

30-8-2022

Weimar



**BUDAPEST UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND ECONOMICS** Faculty of Civil Engineering - Since 1782

Department of Structural Engineering







Interaction Buckling Study Design Proposal



Conclusions



#### **PROBLEM STATEMENT**

The problem under study is divided into three parts:

1- Global buckling :

According to the previous studies, the available buckling curves in the Eurocode3 are <u>underestimating</u> the global buckling strength of the high strength steel (HSS) columns.

2- Local buckling:

According to the previous studies, the currently used Winter curve in EN 1993-1-5 is <u>overestimating</u> the local buckling strength of the high strength steel columns. In which the difference can reach 15%.

3- Interaction behaviour:

How to <u>combine</u> effective cross-section approach and flexural buckling design?



#### **PROBLEM STATEMENT**



#### Global buckling Underestimated for HSS

Local buckling Overestimated

Interaction buckling Very limited research

#### **O**BJECTIVES

Creation of reliable and accurate design method for interaction buckling problems

# Test based design approach

Based on large number of test results (or numerical simulations replacing real tests) and statistical evaluation appropriate buckling curves can be determined. Numerical model based design

Using appropriate imperfections and nonlinear material models the ultimate load can be determined

#### **O**BJECTIVES

Creation of reliable and accurate design method for interactive buckling problems

## Test based design approach

Based on large number of test results (or numerical simulations replacing real tests) and statistical evaluation appropriate buckling curves can be determined.

Large test database needed Supplementing test results by accurate numerical model



Numerical model based design

Using appropriate imperfections and nonlinear material models the ultimate load can be determined



#### **RESEARCH PROGRAM**

1. Numerical model development based on previous test results

2. Numerical parametric study on global and local buckling to find the appropriate imperfections and combination of imperfections with residual stresses to determine the accurate ultimate strength of HSS box-section columns.

3. Using the validated and verified numerical model second numerical parametric study to apply the previously obtained imperfections and residual stresses to estimate the strength of columns that have interactive buckling.

4. Statistical evaluation of the numerical results and appropriate design method development.

#### **RESEARCH PROGRAM**

1. Numerical model development based on previous test results

2. Numerical parametric study on global and local buckling to find the appropriate imperfections and combination of imperfections with residual stresses to determine the accurate ultimate strength of HSS box-section columns.

Current stage of research

3. Using the validated and verified numerical model second numerical parametric study to apply the previously obtained imperfections and residual stresses to estimate the strength of columns that have interaction buckling.

4. Statistical evaluation of the numerical results and appropriate design method development.

## FEM BASED DESIGN - PROBLEM STATEMENT

#### **Global imperfection**



or

# equivalent geometric bow imperfection

AC <sub>2</sub> Buckling curve	elastic analysis	plastic analysis
according to Table 6.2 (AC2)	e <sub>0</sub> / L	e <sub>0</sub> / L
a <sub>0</sub>	1/350	1 / 300
a	1 / 300	1 / 250
b	1/250	1/200
с	1 / 200	1 / 150
d	1/150	1 / 100





No previous proposal

and



Local imperfection

equivalent geometric imperfection b/200 (referring to Winter-curve)

Only equivalent imperfection is developed and verified to GMNIA.

#### FEM BASED DESIGN - PROBLEM STATEMENT

in the case of interaction buckling

previous studies showed if equivalent imperfections for global and local buckling are added L/200 + min(a/200;b/200)

results will be far on the safe side

Reason: duplication of residual stresses (theoretically not correct)

for global: L/1000 + Residual stresses

for local: ???? No validated value

Improvement needed

Validation of geometric imperfection with residual stresses.

#### LOCAL BUCKLING- GEOMETRIC IMPERFECTION



#### LOCAL BUCKLING- GEOMETRIC IMPERFECTION



Annex B curve is safe sided and does not give the accurate solution for slenderness ratio larger than 1.3.

#### MODIFIED BUCKLING RESISTANCE CONSIDERING MANUFACTURING TOLERANCE



# APPLICATION OF THE NEWLY DEVELOPED IMPERFECTION IN INTERACTION BUCKLING RESISTANCE

#### **PREVIOUS DESIGN PROPSALS – EUROCODE APPROACH**

#### Global buckling reduction factor:

$$\chi = \frac{1}{\phi + \sqrt{(\phi^2 - \lambda^2)}} \qquad \phi = 0.5 \left[ 1 + \alpha \left( \bar{\lambda} - 0.2 \right) + \bar{\lambda}^2 \right] \qquad \bar{\lambda}_{g_{class4}} = \beta \, \bar{\lambda}_g = \sqrt{\frac{A_{eff}}{A} \, \bar{\lambda}_g}$$

Local buckling reduction factor:

$$A_{c,eff} = \rho A_c \qquad \rho = \begin{cases} \rho = 1, & \lambda_p < 0.673 \\ \rho = \frac{\bar{\lambda}_p - 0.005(3 + \psi)}{\bar{\lambda}_p^2} \le 1, & \bar{\lambda}_p \ge 0.673 \end{cases}$$

Interaction buckling resistance for slender sections:

$$N_{b,Rd} = \frac{\chi A_{eff} f_y}{\gamma_{M1}} = \frac{\chi \rho A f_y}{\gamma_{M1}}$$

Oversimplified neglecting the nonlinear combined effect of interaction.

#### **PREVIOUS DESIGN PROPSALS - LITERATURE**

#### Schillo

Equivalent local imperfection  $(e_p)$  to account for loss of stiffness due to local buckling.

$$ep = s \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\chi_A} - 1 \right) + \frac{1 - \psi}{1 + \psi} \left( \frac{1}{\chi_W} - 1 \right) \right]$$
$$\eta = \alpha (\bar{\lambda}_{gs} - 0.2) + (e_L + e_p) \cdot \frac{A}{W}$$
$$\phi = \sqrt{\frac{1}{k_e}} \cdot 0.5 [k_e + \eta \cdot k_e + \bar{\lambda}_{gs}^2]$$
$$\chi_{gs} = \left[ \frac{1}{\phi + \sqrt{\phi^2 - \bar{\lambda}_{gs}^2}} \right]$$

#### Kuhlmann

Upgrade from "b" curve to "a" curve. Modification of global slenderness considering the local slenderness.

$$\bar{\lambda}_{GL} = \sqrt{\frac{N_{Rk}}{N_{cr}}} = \sqrt{\frac{A f_y}{\pi^2 \frac{EI}{L_{cr}^2}}}$$
$$\beta = \frac{i}{i_{eff}} \cdot \left[ 1 - 0.5 \,\chi_a \,\left( 1 - 0.6. \,\sqrt{\rho_p} \right) \right]$$
$$\bar{\lambda}_{int} = \beta \cdot \bar{\lambda}_{GL}$$
$$N_{Rd} = \frac{\chi A_{eff} f_y}{\gamma_1}$$

#### Young

Direct strength method (DSM) for welded box sections

$$P_{nl} = \begin{cases} P_{ne} \\ \left(1 - 0.2 \left(\frac{P_{crl}}{P_{ne}}\right)^{0.55}\right) \left(\frac{P_{crl}}{P_{ne}}\right)^{0.55} P_{ne} \end{cases}$$

 $P_{crl}$  is the elastic local buckling load by finite elements Method or finite strip method

 $P_{ne}$  is the nominal column design load according to EC3 with appropriate buckling curve.

#### **MATERIAL MODEL**

S500 – S960

- the numerical model will utilize
  Ramberg-Osgood material model:
- $\varepsilon = \frac{\sigma}{E} + 0.002 \left(\frac{\sigma}{f_y}\right)^{1/n}$ , where



#### linear elastic – plastic model with strain hardening



	S235	S355	S460	
$f_{y}$	235	355	460	
fu	360	510	540	
Esh	0.010	0.015	0.030	
Ęμ	0.208	0.182	0.089	
$C_I$	0.287	0.310	0.505	
$C_2$	0.430	0.448	0.604	
Esh	1578	2310	3407	
$C_I \cdot \varepsilon_u$	0.060	0.057	0.045	
fc1eu	313	451	510	



#### **RESIDUAL STRESSES AND MESH SENSITIVITY**

The distributions of residual stress for welded sections are shown in the figure, where '+'represents tensile stress and'-'represents compressive stress according to ECCS with the  $f_v$  as yield stress and 0.13\*355MPa as compressive stress for HSS and 0.13\* $f_v$  for NSS.

Mesh size of 12 mm was used





#### **MODEL VALIDATION- INTERACTION BUCKLING**



S700 150X150X4.9 mm L=2512 mm Validation with L/1000 global imperfection Residual stress 0.13\*355 MPa Actual local imperfection b/516 mm acc. to Annex B curve



#### **MODEL VALIDATION- INTERACTION BUCKLING**

	Steel grade	$\lambda_g$	$\lambda_p$	b (mm)	h (mm)	t (mm)	L (mm)	<i>f</i> <sub>y</sub> (MPa)	<i>f</i> <sub>u</sub> (MPa)	F <sub>u,exp</sub> (kN)	F <sub>u,num</sub> (kN)	$\frac{F_{u,num}}{F_{u,exp}}$	
	S500	0.33	1.06	159.75	159.5	4.1	1599	562	640	880.3	948	1.08	
	S500	0.37	1.07	160	159.25	4	1800	562	640	883.9	925	1.05	ţ
	S500	0.40	1.08	160	159	4	2000	562	640	858.2	899	1.05	
	S500	0.44	1.08	159.25	159.25	4	2198	562	640	828.9	889	1.07	
NC NC													
	S960	0.23	1.17	136	136	4.2	728	980	1024	1400.4	1383	0.99	
	S960	0.42	1.24	137	137	4	1299	980	1024	1390.5	1223	0.88	
L	S960	0.45	1.23	137	137	4	1399	980	1024	1396.6	1230	0.88	
ſ													
	S700	0.28	1.22	199	199	4.9	1512	762	819	1733	1735	1.00	
Jan	S700	0.45	0.90	149	149	4.9	1512	762	819	1800	1698	0.94	
2-	S700	0.57	0.74	125	125	4.9	1512	762	819	1659	1593	0.96	
	S700	0.45	1.22	199	199	4.9	2512	762	819	1598	1626	1.02	
	S700	0.72	0.9	150	150	4.9	2512	762	819	1688	1605	0.96	

#### **New Numerical parametric Study – Interaction**

Around 2000 numerical tests were done with these ranges of variables to conduct the parametric study.

- Plate slenderness ranges from 0.7 to 3.
- Global slenderness ranges from 0.1 to 2.5
- Section width ranges from 200 to 450 mm, 50mm increment.
- Length ranges from L=700 to 2000mm
- Plate thicknesses 2-11 mm with 0.25 mm increment
- Steel grades are S235, S355, S460, S500, S690, S960.

#### using Winter curve

 $\bar{\lambda} =$ 

A<sub>eff</sub>J<sub>v</sub>

# EUROCODE3 RESISTANCE COMPARED TO NUMERICAL RESISTANCE



#### using Annex B curve

 $A_{eff}f_y$ 

# EUROCODE3 RESISTANCE COMPARED TO NUMERICAL RESISTANCE



#### **EFFECT OF GLOBAL AND LOCAL SLENDERNESS**

Numerical to Eurocode



#### **EFFECT OF GLOBAL AND LOCAL SLENDERNESS**



#### **DESIGN PROPOSAL:**

 $\bullet \quad Factor_{max} = \begin{cases} 1, \ \bar{\lambda}_p \leq 0.67 \\ 1 + (\bar{\lambda}_p - 0.67) * \frac{0.45}{0.33}, \ 0.67 < \bar{\lambda}_p \leq 1 \\ 1.45, \ \bar{\lambda}_p > 1 \end{cases}$ 

Calculating the local buckling factor and maximum value of the modification factor.

•  $Factor_{mod} = \begin{cases} 1 + (\bar{\lambda}_g - 0.4) * (Factor_{max} - 1), \ 0.4 \le \bar{\lambda}_g < 1.4 \end{cases}$  Calculation of the interaction factor. my contribution •  $N_{int} = Factor_{mod} \chi_b . A_{eff} . f_y$ 

Calculation of the interaction

resistance. easy-to-apply design rule with clear physical background and calibrated to GMNIA results. Global reduction factor (curve b) Effective area based on Annex B curve

### **FIT TO NUMERICAL RESULTS - NSS**

The proposed fit is very reliable with close values to numerical results



### **FIT TO NUMERICAL RESULTS - HSS**

The proposed fit is very reliable with close values to numerical results



#### **CONCLUSIONS**

- Accurate and reliable geometric imperfection is determined to achieve the local buckling resistance of box-sections.
- Numerical paramteric study is executed with this imperfection to determine accurate global and local interaction resistance.
- Clear trend is found on the resistance ratio and global / local slenderness ratios in case of interaction buckling.
- Enhanced and simple interaction design equation is developed to improve the Eurocode based design approach.
- The proposed method provides safe results compared to the GMNIA analyses.



#### **PUBLISHED WORKS:**

- M. Radwan, B. Kövesdi: Local plate buckling type imperfections for NSS and HSS welded box-section columns, Structures, Volume 34, 2021, Pages 2628-2643, ISSN 2352-0124, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.istruc.2021.09.011</u>. IF: 2.983
  - M. Radwan, B. Kövesdi: "Equivalent Geometric Imperfections for Local Buckling of Slender Box-section Columns", Periodica Polytechnica Civil Engineering, 2021.
     <u>https://doi.org/10.3311/PPci.18545</u>. IF: 1.361
- M. Radwan, B. Kövesdi: "Enhanced design method for global and local buckling interaction of welded box-section columns", Journal of Constructional Steel Research 2022;194:107334. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcsr.2022.107334</u>. IF: 3.646



#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. Kövesdi, "Buckling resistance of orthotropic plates subjected by compression interpolation between plate and column-like behavior," Journal of Constructional Steel Research, vol. 160, pp. 67–76, Sep. 2019, doi: <u>10.1016/j.jcsr.2019.05.024</u>.
- [2] "ASI High-strength steel." <u>https://www.steel.org.au/focus-areas/innovation/high-strength-steel/</u> (accessed Oct. 10, 2020).
- [3] "Ramberg–Osgood relationship," Wikipedia. Sep. 04, 2020, Accessed: Oct. 10, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Ramberg%E2%80%93Osgood\_relationship&oldid=976766022
- [4]"References," in Designers' Guide to EN 1993-1-1 Eurocode 3: Design of Steel Structures, 0 vols., Thomas Telford Publishing, 2005, pp. 161–162.
- [5]N. Schillo and M. Feldmann, "Interaction of local and global buckling of box sections made of high strength steel," Thin-Walled Structures, vol. 128, pp. 126–140, 2018, doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tws.2017.07.009">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tws.2017.07.009</a>.





# **THANK YOU**



**BUDAPEST UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND ECONOMICS** Faculty of Civil Engineering - Since 1782

Department of Structural Engineering